CANDOUR The British Views-Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol XLIII No 5 *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* May 1991

THE ESSENCE OF THE 'NEW SOUTH AFRICA'

By AFRIKANER REALIST

THE OUTLINES of De Klerk's 'new South Africa' have become more distinct as a result of a rather rapid succession of events in the latter half of 1990. And it is evident now that what is aimed at is the complete overthrow of the political, social and economic order of South Africa. *In essence it is the substitution of Communist rule for Afrikaner rule.*

The De Klerk Government has betrayed every principle and value of the White nation, and of the Afrikaner people in particular. De Klerk's role is becoming more reprehensible than that of any other white leader in Africa.

MURDER OF DR VERWOERD

South Africa is now experiencing the culmination of a process set in motion by the assassination of Dr H.F.Verwoerd on the 6th September 1966 by the Communist, Dimitrio Tsafendas.

Bearing in mind that it was a Communist who murdered Dr Verwoerd, it is highly significant that Verwoerd's policies and principles were subverted by the man who succeeded him, John Vorster. Whereas Tsafendas assassinated Dr Verwoerd himself, Vorster assassinated what Verwoerd had stood for. To deny the connection between these two facts is completely unrealistic.

Dr Verwoerd, as a protagonist of racial integrity and an Afrikaner leader of immense stature, stood in the way of Communist imperialism, of American and British economic greed, and of revolutionary powers in South Africa. His removal was the prerequisite for the leftist slogans of 'change' and 'reform', which have finally been reduced to the single appeal: 'abolish apartheid'!

Because 'apartheid' is an Afrikaans word denoting a political policy advocated by Afrikaner governments, and supported by the bulk of the Afrikaner people, it is inevitable that attacks on apartheid would mean attacks on Afrikaners, as so-called 'Nazism' is associated with Germans, and Zionism with Jews.

APARTHEID 'A SIN'

This has been emphasized by the recent resolution of the N.G.Kerk (Dutch Reformed Church) Synod, proclaiming apartheid 'a sin'. This was done in pursuance of its previous resolution, whereby 'racism' had been declared a sin, and a pronouncement made that there were no Biblical grounds for the policy of apartheid.

The significance of this development is that the Afrikaners have now, by dint of a pronouncement of the biggest Afrikaans church, been placed on the accused's bench. The fight against apartheid which has been waged by the Communists and the Money Power has now been taken up by Afrikaans church leaders; with the implication is that the godless Communists and the worshippers of Mammon have been right all along, and Afrikaner political and ecclesiastic leaders always wrong.

It is inevitable that the NGK is going to be shaken to its very foundations as a result of this betrayal; but the political developments that are bound to arise from this situation are far more serious.

JUDICIAL ASSAULT

The way seems to have been cleared now for the criminalisation of apartheid by means of a judicial assault on Afrikaners, the conditions for which have been outlined in the ANC-SACP's 'Civil and Criminal Code of the People of South Africa', adopted in 1980. (See *Understanding the Freedom Charter* by David Styles.)

According to this Code, apartheid is defined as '... policies and practices of racial segregation and discrimination as practised in Southern Africa ...' These are denoted as 'Crimes against Humanity and shall, regardless of the lapse of time between their commission and trial, be tried on 'the Principles of the Nuremberg Criminal Procedure for the Prosecution and Punishment of Nazi War Criminals'.'

This suggests strongly that the same forces which are conducting the anti-Nazi vendetta are behind the anti-apartheid movement in South Africa.

It must be accepted that the S.A.Communist Party is the real author of the ANC's Civil and Criminal Code. Therefore, if the De Klerk regime is allowed to continue on its present course, and either hands over power to the ANC-SACP or creates the conditions required for their accession to power, the Afrikaners

would be at the mercy of the Communists, and would probably not only be robbed of their possessions, but would also be hunted down as criminals in terms of the Communist Criminal Code.

The situation is indeed grave and calls for the most concerted and concentrated effort on the part of Afrikaners to ward off total disaster.

OBVIOUS CONNECTION

It is equally important to consider:

- the obvious connection between the protracted hate campaign conducted by the Communists and the Money Power against apartheid;

- the assassination by a Communist of Dr Verwoerd, the great protagonist of apartheid;

- the subsequent betrayal by the National Party leadership of what Verwoerd had stood for as 'morally indefensible';

- the NGK's condemnation of apartheid as sinful;

- and the Communists' proposed criminalisation of apartheid.

The outlines of this picture are disconcerting, if not shocking, as they suggest Communist influences along the whole line, including the National Party and the NGK, which in a real sense have become instruments by which the Afrikaner nation is being betrayed and attacked.

Warnings have been sounded for years, but now the alarm bells are ringing uninterruptedly. The the call is clear for strong and visionary leadership on the Right, to establish a united front which will courageously follow a course of imaginative and challenging action.

Reproduced with acknowledgement to **South African Observer**, PO Box 2401, Pretoria, South Africa. This journal was founded in 1955 by S.E.D. Brown, whose death we were saddened to hear about at the start of this year. Denys Brown was an indefatigable journalist and campaigner, whose trenchant analyses of the threats facing South Africa from international finance, liberalism, zionism and communism, made him a particular target for attack by the forces seeking White South Africa's destruction. We are delighted to see that his work is being carried on by his loyal family.

NO LAX HAVEN

THE Isle of Man
Where men are men
And cats are without tails,
Where sodomists had better bid
Upon a bed of nails.

The Tynwald wants
Not buggery
Its Manxmen to defile,
Would legislate against the trend
Towards "this septic isle".

The Laxey Wheel
Isabella,
Lady would surely fall
To earth, and bust, should Man
adjust
And live unnatural.

B.E. BIGGS

OPEN SESAME is an anthology of the poems of B.E. Biggs. Only £1 from Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants.

GRAHAM GREENE AND THE CONCILIAR CHURCH

"THERE was a time, long ago, when I might have considered myself a practising Catholic. I still often go to Sunday Mass, but I no longer feel at home, for reasons of my own - besides, the Mass has changed considerably. It's no longer said in Latin; and all my education after my conversion was bound up with the Mass in Latin. Even at Chungking in China I was able to attend a service I understood. Since the change to the vernacular, when I travel, I can't follow the Catholic services; they're in a different tongue each time. Nor do I care for the freedom given to priests to introduce endless prayers - for the astronauts or what-have-you - as they do at Antibes."

(from **The Other Man: Conversations with Graham Greene** by M.F. Allain)

C U T A N D T H R U S T

JANUS offers candid comment on news from home and abroad

BRITAIN is only 88 per cent self-sufficient in milk production. However, this is not due to a lack of cows in this country, but to the quota imposed by the European Community. Excess production will be punished by a fine of 24p per litre and, as a result, milk is being poured away, despite fears that it could pollute rivers. The milk may not be given to schools or hospitals. In the meantime, children in Africa are dying for want of nourishment. So much for planning! It is characteristic of "Europe" that the half-baked common agricultural policy costs each of us in this country more than the poll tax. As the historian Norman Stone observed in a recent **Sunday Times** article, "We should properly riot about that"!

* * * *

A SCHEME has been suggested which would enable European customs officers to serve in different countries to give them the general idea of what happens in other countries. Thousands of telephone calls from interested officials have been received. Naturally, the thought of a free holiday in one or more foreign countries cannot but appeal. The idea is that all officers will learn the same high standards of vigilance when dealing with passengers (and possibly illegal migrants) from third world countries. As several EC countries, notably in the Mediterranean, are already being descended on by vast numbers of immigrants from North Africa and elsewhere, possibly these standards are not all that high.

Of course, it is not only the countries of the European Community that are being "enriched" by rising numbers of third world migrants. Recently Bulgarian police prevented thousands of Vietnamese gastarbeitern from staging a march on the legislature building to protest against the killing of a compatriot in a police raid. Very sad, but what on earth are all those Vietnamese doing in Bulgaria, of all places. Can there really be a labour shortage there?

* * * *

UGANDA is urging Asians it expelled twenty years ago to return and rebuild its shattered economy. We do not recall that the United Nations urged sanctions against Uganda or that Ugandan sportsmen were not allowed to compete in world events, not even when Idi Amin was murdering thousands of his own people. Is it possible that there is just the tiniest whiff of hypocrisy about the whole affair? That aside, we hardly think the former Ugandan Asians will be easily enticed away from the comfortable lives they have secured here. According to a recent edition of **This Week**, one in twelve of the population of Uganda (where, apparently, copulation occurs 2½ million times every day) is stricken with AIDS, and that number doubles every year.

* * * *

THERE continues to be a massive attempt to smother the facts about AIDS under a blanket of obfuscation, for reasons which have little to do with public health and everything to do with the very different aims of

a particular political lobby. With the appearance in the early 1980s of the AIDS virus in England, the "gay rights" movement was determined to fight the general perception of AIDS as a queers' disease, fearing the loss of its newly won social acceptability. Anyone who pointed out that the acronym actually represented "anal intercourse disease" was slandered as a result of the influence of the homosexual lobby.

That marked influence extends as far as government thinking. Before Christmas one of the health ministers made a speech prepared by her officials in which she referred to "a rapid and alarming increase" in the number of women affected by AIDS. However, figures released a little later showed that at the end of 1990, out of 4,098 cases of AIDS, only 203 were women, slightly less than five per cent of the total. About 82 per cent of the total, in contrast, were homos, the rest being the poor unfortunate haemophiliacs and the type of riff-raff that enjoy abusing themselves with drugs. So it is perfectly obvious to those with eyes to see where lies the greatest reservoir of infection as far as this vile disease is concerned. Yet still the Government funds an outfit like the Terrence Higgins Trust by almost half a million pounds of taxpayers' money a year.

* * * *

THE confectioners, Benedicks of Mayfair, will be displaying the sign "K" to signify that their products are kosher. This is the logo of the Beth Din, the court of the Chief Rabbi. Benedicks also supply Her Majesty the Queen and, as a result, display the Royal Warrant. It is not known which logo will take precedence.

* * * *

CATHOLICS in South America have been hoping that Queen Isabella of Spain (who sent Columbus to the New World and opened the way for its conversion) will be beatified. For once acting in concord, Jewish and Muslim leaders have protested strongly against the proposal on the grounds that she, and her husband Ferdinand, were responsible for the expulsion from Spain of Jews and Muslims. As a result, the Pontifical Council for Christian Unity voted unanimously against canonisation. Here is a very odd thing. If these Jews and Muslims do not believe in Christian sainthood, why should they give a damn who is or is not beatified? When Joan of Arc was canonised in 1920, did English people, whether Protestant or pagan, object because she had waged war on them? Perhaps in future the Church will feel similarly free to give unwanted advice on the differences between Sunni and Shiite Moslems or Orthodox and Reformed Jews? Alternatively, when will Elizabeth I and Edward VI be canonised for removing "six million" anti-semites from England?

* * * *

IT seems that the United States drew up plans in 1930 for a war with Britain involving the invasion of Canada. The aim was not to protect Americans against unprovoked attack from north of the border or to reesist a British attempt to force the USA to rejoin the British Empire. Rather, it was to dismember the Empire on the grounds of "competition and interference with American foreign trade". As it happened, the plans were unnecessary. Roosevelt achieved his ambition (with the help of Stalin and Churchill) to dismember the British Empire and did so without any bloodshed.

CRIMES IGNORED AT NUREMBERG

by ERNST ZUNDEL

A SENSATIONAL book has just been published in Canada by Stoddard Publishing Company. It is entitled **Other Losses.** Its author, James Bacque, is a Canadian of French extraction. The book's title is further explained on its dust jacket: "An investigation into the mass deaths of German prisoners at the hands of the French and Americans after World War II." The author had advertised in Canadian newspapers for former POWs to contact him. Knowing our interest in the general topic of Allied War Crimes, some of our supporters contacted Mr Bacque to witness for history. One of those who did so was Hans von der Heide, a translator for us at the first Zundel-Holocaust Trial. He had the misfortune to fall prisoner to the Western Allies and, along with photos and documents, told author Bacque his terrible story. But gathering material on this gruesome subject was not the only difficulty faced by the author: Bacque says that thirty US publishers turned down his manuscript, so the sort of censorship which I have said exists here in the so-called free world does exist!

Of course, the US has every reason to hide the truth about Eisenhower's cold-blooded and deliberately planned mass murder of some 1.7 million German men, women and children in US-run concentration areas where those confined died, as was intended by their American captors, from starvation, disease and exposure. One US confinement area alone, at Dietersheim, "held 32,640 old men, women and children under 8 years of age, boys of 8 to 14, terminally sick and cripples." The French received these and other thousands of near-dead and dying Germans from American "custody" for use as "labour reparations"! Of course, no one so near to dying of starvation could do any labour whatsoever.

Deliberate Starvation By Allies

Bacque reveals that the Americans had a vast surplus of food and building materials at hand which they could have used to feed and house the millions of Germans whom they had decided to confine after the war ended in Europe, but they did not do so. Instead, the Americans confiscated such German foodstuffs as existed and refused to permit the Red Cross to distribute 100,000 tons of food to the prisoners. This latter shipment was returned because the Americans said the warehouses were still full of undistributed Red Cross food from previous shipments. Meanwhile, tens of thousands of German captives were dying every day of starvation and malnutrition!

The author has encountered a long trail of US tampering and destruction of documents which reveals quite clearly the mens rea or guilty mind of the US authorities who planned this atrocity and then tried to cover it up, similar to the Soviet mass-murders at Katyn and elsewhere. He reveals a conspiracy of obfuscation and silence by the US military, the officially-approved court historians, the administrators of archives and private papers of those officials and agencies involved in the Americans' genocide programme.

It therefore appears that I have been only gently pointing out the war guilt and war crimes of Americans, although I have been accused by exceedingly thin-skinned individuals of having a penchant for "America-

bashing." Not at all! What I refuse to do as a German is to suffer in silence American-sponsored and promoted lies while the real perpetrators of war crimes, many of whom are Americans, go unaccused and unpunished. After all, the American pledge of allegiance says, "...with Liberty and Justice for all." I therefore presume that Americans deserve as much justice as anyone else, and in view of the Nuremberg War Crimes travesty, perhaps they deserve even more than they have ever received. The criminals and murderers amongst us also deserve justice, for if Germans have paid with their lives for real or imaginary war crimes, then the same should apply for American war criminals. Justice is indivisible, otherwise it is not just.

My own father was a prisoner of war in such American camps as Crailsheim, Mannheim and Darmstadt. I remember what he looked like when he got home. I also remember his tales of needless hunger and brutality, of "Polish guards" in American uniforms who shot into the crowded enclosures at night, randomly murdering the prisoners. What had my father done during the war? He was a member of the German Red Cross and he helped save the lives of men who had driven Communism back to the very gates of Moscow, unlike the Americans who were doing everything possible to make the world safe for Communism! Although he was a Red Cross medic, serving on a Red Cross ambulance train, he was brutally mistreated by the so-called defenders of democracy and neither his Red Cross armband, nor his official identity papers, made any difference.

Red Cross Bias

While we are on the subject of the Red Cross and Allied War Crimes of World War II, neither James Bacque nor other revisionist historical researchers have been permitted by the International Committee of the Red Cross to research their archives in regard to these and other Allied concentration camps from 1945 to 1949. When it comes to humanitarianism to Germans, the Red Cross is distinguished by its refusals! The Red Cross does provide anti-German researchers and propagandists with full co-operation and complete access to its files, and when such persons do not wish to journey into Switzerland or West Germany, it is happy to send high-ranking officials to testify on behalf of Zionists and other German-haters at trials such as mine. Time after time, the Red Cross has revealed its dismal record of bias, hypocrisy and political partisanship. As a German, I prefer not to support an organisation which has shown its malice and hatred towards the German people. There are no Red Cross reports about German suffering inflicted by the Alies, although the Allies did, in fact, inflict enormous suffering upon German civilians and POWS. For evidence of that "crime against humanity" we owe a debt of gratitude to James Bacque.

Other Losses by James Bacque is available for £15.75, including postage, from BNP Book Service, 154 Upper Wickham Lane, Welling, Kent DA16 3DP.

ZUNDEL VERSUS ZIONISTS. This video is the ideal introduction to Ernst Zundel and Revisionism, being the story of his daring 10 years of struggle against the Canadian legal system and Zionist persecution. VHS only. 1½ hours long. Price £20. Send orders or sae for revised list of revisionist and nationalist films to FREEDOM VIDEOS, BM TRUTH, LONDON WC1N 3XX.

THE HOMELESS AND THEIR "RIGHT" TO HOUSING

by LESLIE VON GOETZ

WE are asked to campaign for the "right" to housing for everyone and it sounds lovely. Of course, it must be "decent" housing. We are not in the business of saying the poor, the discharged mental patients or the alcoholics should be inserted into slums. However, we must then ask ourselves what is so special about being British and living in the twentieth century that we should expect a) better housing than the vast majority of people in the rest of the world, and b) better housing than all the millions who have lived in the centuries before us. Look at the shanty town in Africa or South America, look at the tenements, crumbling and overcrowded, behind the former Iron Curtain. Consider that the normal dweller on a council estate in this country has a standard of comfort far exceeding the grandest of mediaeval barons!

Let us consider an imaginary and hypothetical ideal community where there really was enough housing for all and people lived at peace together. Let us suppose that civil wars and persecutions elsewhere produced an influx of refugees which doubled the population (this has really happened in Malawi!). If proper houses are built for all those refugees, the host country might well find it only possible if planning restrictions were totally abolished. Unemployment and land shortage would result, and soon there would be matrimonial breakdowns which would in turn create a demand for doubling the housing stock so that each parent should have reasonable access to the children in a proper "home" - not a park, zoo or grotty bed-sit. At <u>some</u> point, it would become apparent that trying to give as a right something totally impossible had produced such pollution and social devastation that the indigenous population would have to seek refuge elsewhere or fight for the right to a share in a park or a corner of a flat (this also happens in other parts of the world).

Wrong Places

There are masses of empty houses in this country - more than enough to cover the housing shortage - but they are in the "wrong" places in towns without employment or villages without services. Many have been on the market for years. On the other hand, there are now lots of houses for sale in places where there used to be lots of work but no housing. Planning permission was obtained and housing erected and bought, but ten years later the green fields are all covered up with houses yet people are being made redundant and no longer want to live there. They cannot even afford to live in nice houses with extensive central heating a couple of miles from the shops, which did not matter when they could afford to run a car.

As Catholics we should be prepared to face the fact that the largest single cause of homelessness is marital breakdown. This is also the main reason for so many children growing up in single parent families. We are rightly critical of throwing condoms at school children and <u>assuming</u> they will be engaging in sexual intercourse while still at school, but I do not know what we are actually doing to stop the marital breakdowns which happen in Catholic families as much as any. Certainly men taking drink and showing violence to their wives is <u>seen</u> among Catholics and Catholic women used to regard it as their duty to

put up with it. Perhaps it is, but I am sure that if young people realised that they do not need a fraction of the things which go with "good housing", but learned to spend their money wisely, to get a £15 second hand spinner before the £250 washing machine on the never never, they would not feel so hopeless about resorting to drink and violence.

Many of the homeless children have left home because they cannot bear the restrictions imposed by their parents. Those same youngsters often get thrown out of hostels, too. Often in expensive boarding schools there is a lot of vandalism, but their parents have to be prepared to pay for it. We ought to be making our schoolchildren plan their budgets, learn to do their own repairs, act out critical emotional and physical crises, explain that marriage does not mean free sex for ever. Make them face up in advance to infidelity and babies crying in the night, not fighting for their "right" to handle their own "relationships" without parental intervention.

THE QUIET SIDE OF MR MAJOR

"THERE are some penetrating insights [in a new biography of John Major], most chillingly in a reported exchange between Ken Livingstone and Mr Major (Lambeth Council veterans) while they waited for a taxi. 'How do you put up with all this reactionary crap,' asks Ken of John's complicity in Thatcherism, 'given what you were doing down in Lambeth?' John replies, 'You remember me, Ken. I haven't changed. And one day I'll be able to do what I want.' Pearce concludes that Mr Major is 'humane', and certainly not the right-winger many of us thought he would be."

"THE long-standing love affair between No 10 Downing Street and Britain's Jewish establishment looks set to outlive all changes at the top. Margaret Thatcher made no secret of her admiration for the outgoing Chief Rabbi and her successor has maintained the links... 'We've always counted John Major as a friend,' says Hayam Pinner, secretary general to the Board of Deputies. 'He made some very friendly remarks about Israel during the war.' Mutual liking apart, the Prime Minister has added reason to look with favour on Britain's Jewish community. Norma Major revealed that her paternal grandmother was Jewish. The disclosure has been welcomed by both the Chief Rabbi's office and the Board of Deputies."

[**Daily Telegraph**, 18th May]

Hear Bishop Richard Williamson (Society of St Pius X) speak on LIBERALISM AND COMMUNISM. C60 cassette tape. Only £4 from CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPT. Send s.a.e. for full list of political/religious tapes.

Read SPEARHEAD - Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the B.N.P. Sample copy 87p from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £8.00 OVERSEAS £10 U.S. $20 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD, England. [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]